

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HUBERT JACKSON, AJ-2373,)
Petitioner,)
v.) 2:12-cv-948
)
SUPERINTENDENT ROZUM., et al.,)
Respondents.)

MEMORANDUM and ORDER

Hubert Jackson has presented another in a series of habeas corpus petitions. For the reasons set forth below, the petitioner will be transferred to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit for consideration as a successive petition.

Hubert Jackson, an inmate at the State Correctional Institution at Somerset has presented a petition for a writ of habeas corpus. In his petition, Jackson seeks to challenge his twenty to forty year sentence imposed following his conviction of rape, burglary, aggravated assault and terroristic threats at No. CC 1988010278 in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.

The instant petition was executed on July 3, 2012. However, this is not the first federal challenge which Jackson has addressed to the above sentence. In Civil Action 2:09-cv-87, the petitioner also sought to challenge that conviction. The latter petition was dismissed on February 19, 2009 and judgment was entered against the petitioner on March 9, 2009. An appeal was taken to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit and docketed at No. 09-1926 and again at docket No. 10-1937 and the appeal was terminated on August 05, 2010 by the denial of a certificate of appealability. Jackson then filed another petition challenging this conviction at 2:10-cv-1359. The latter petition was transferred to the Court of Appeals as a successive petition. On December 16, 2011, Jackson filed another habeas petition challenging that conviction. The latter was transferred to the Court of Appeals as a successive petition and on March 27, 2012 dismissed for failure to prosecute.

Jackson now returns to this Court with a successive petition.

The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act, signed into law on April 24, 1996, included several major reforms to the federal habeas corpus laws. As part of this habeas corpus

reform, Congress amended 28 U.S.C. § 2244 to prohibit district courts from entertaining claims presented in a second or successive habeas corpus application unless the appropriate federal court of appeals authorizes such filing. The relevant amended language provides as follows:

- (A) Before a second or successive application permitted by this section is filed in the district court, the applicant shall move in the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider the application.
- (B) A motion in the court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider a second or successive application shall be determined by a three-judge panel of the court of appeals.
- (C) The court of appeals may authorize the filing of a second or successive application only if it determines that the application makes a prima facie showing that the application satisfies the requirements of this subsection.
- (D) The court of appeals shall grant or deny the authorization to file a second or successive application not later than 30 days after the filing of the motion.
- (E) The grant or denial of an authorization by a court of appeals to file a second or successive application shall not be appealable and shall not be the subject of a petition for rehearing or for a writ of certiorari.

28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3).

Because it would appear that this Court cannot consider the instant petition without leave of the Court of Appeals, it will be transferred to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1631 for a determination of whether or not Jackson can proceed here.

An appropriate Order will be entered.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 12th day of July, 2012, for the reason in the foregoing Memorandum, the petition of Hubert Jackson is transferred forthwith to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit for consideration as a successive petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 2244.

s/ Robert C. Mitchell
United States Magistrate Judge